Group re-aims at Provo Canyon road job

By Alan Edwards 2-15-98
Deseret News staff writer

The Provo Canyon Coalition is readying its latest legal shot at the beleaguered Provo Canyon road reconstruction project.

Attorneys for the conservationist group are likely to file an "intent to sue" with the U.S. Army
Corps of Engineers next week, coalition attorney Jeff Appel said
Friday. The document is a prerequisite to a lawsuit in federal court
to slow or stop the expansion of
U.S. 189.

The Utah Department of Transportation is expanding the road into a four-lane highway, a tight squeeze in the narrow canyon. It is doing so under a permit obtained in August 1995 from the Army

Corps of Engineers, which requires compliance with the federal Clean Water Act.

The coalition plans to sue the Army Corps of Engineers unless the problems detailed in the "intent to sue" document are fixed within two months.

The project has been languishing for years and has experienced numerous and serious problems with landslides, faulty retaining wall design and geologic instability. Last year a falling boulder crushed a pickup truck whose driver, miraculously, survived. The project's original \$34 million price tag has continued to rise until now. UDOT executive director Tom Warne declines to say publicly, for now, anyway, how much he thinks the final tab will be.

In December, the Army Corps of Engineers warned UDOT that a retaining wall and environmental fencing were too close to the Provo River and that too much vegetation was being ripped out. It scolded UDOT for not informing the corps of potential difficulties.

Warne conceded Friday that the Provo Canyon project "has been on the high end" regarding problems.

Provo Canyon Coalition members met with the Utah Transportation Commission Friday and detailed their objections to what they perceive as the slipshod way the project has been planned and executed.

"Don't design on the back of a napkin," said Julie Mack, a Sundance environmentalist and member of the group. Mack insisted that the coalition isn't out to stop the project but merely wants it improved. When pressed, however, she couldn't say what the coalition would specifically recommend to remedy the project's numerous problems. She simply said it deserves more study.

Various residents of Heber Valley attended Friday's meeting, most of whom said they just want reconstruction to be over, whatever it takes.

Two years ago the coalition sued UDOT to stop the project, but Judge Tena Campbell of Salt Lake's U.S. District Court denied the coalition's requests for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction, which would have temporarily halted the work. Trial of the matter was recently delayed until next December.

Coalition says canyon needs UDOT money

Judge will decide who gets \$126,000 from suit settlement

By DONALD W. MEYERS
The Daily Herald

BYU is looking for volunteers to help host Campus Education Week from Aug. 185 BYU is looking for volun-

Provo

Volleyball Tryouts will be held Monday-Wednesday from 6-8 a.m., and Aug. 20-21 from 8-11 a.m. for juniors, seniors and sophomores who played last year. All others my try out Aug. 25-27 from 2:45-5 p.m. Tryouts will be held at the high school gym and girls should come dressed for play. Questions can be directed to Coach Isakson at be directed to Coach Isakson at 373-8109.

said there were violations and the state decided to settle rather than go through the expense of a court trial.

Carson annexation, 300 South and 1700 West, and the 1,145-

The City Council annexed the .43-acre Brooks-Holland addition, near 385 East and 1450 North; the 17-acre

Гері

The Planning Commission will set time limits on discussion. Steven Draper, commission chairman, and Ted Stillman, city planner, will schedule the agenda items.

highland

'dnyord

27 307 1

The Great Provo Canyon

MY LOW ALLEN

in hommentalists like to portray diemselver as Least moral crumuler. The me out to have the from the rest of us, who be definition, either a en t sine u enough or dan't care enough to know what is good for

Have you ever condesent here her get the money to Vala fara behoard algid with no years The Prova Canyon (42) poject gives a perfe-,

signs. In 1906 a group of cavanamentasis fried to get

that he project the 2.1 mates for a the apper falls . do od killed They tind, the disc to court They

En connent t conce as however, brought about a surface of concessions from 15001 regulating how the so the world he built. The seceptors of cuts would be great to less a the affect on engentian. Tunnels were

pleaned to reduce the area has been our ye hateship Haw guidlass for said 000,5 ar E-Maurisson . Ligon benefit oils era in cals 2:16

पुरामी में इस्तार के जाती है। face contract, it is not easily подрежения в денень. Sadi that if It plints despite a Temovies the all has better my even

project ale maint Herey driven more than a headerd muse through the canyon white work rous acong casing t was large eased ey me. Gethy agas of the cognitive and the courteor leaknote latter wood on trainer one govern over our none Miderica pather regardences become and of

Press of the areas the confidence of the confide a ye been a got a new respect on the case, yilland, the been made in the land the beautiful to be been as a specific of the they will be the work of the area work in the program in the project and slexure from pail and reusely their they were Mind their galverail a patase of

asit dang the terror good from out- exact that he is not next me term, a see of abuse two universely see and capite booking for the displices or one to tractice the

and an air the road solidors rim to a our with and after the considering a first two order the militarian or grand no . like a cone : 4501 Han all come white a trace 18. "oqy" Share and state of the

Road Shakedown Story was at the state out as the day and analysis

EVENTIAL DIRECTOR OF CHIZESE FOR ENVIPLEMENTAL COLLEGE SELECT

the me real rippers one growthe comments of percent the Corose of Play nears, we'd and permit difficiency Firm the bereight who wene it. The presence secured the mondows? It is eight feet from the (i.i.) and digmenter many digmenter many. has spectation and the connector the eght this meant the per cinem. The Corps or included it assum the over cale of the retaining war

be and the constant of all more in somm

e tongs festion to a core from Lange and modest spiret of

Rather than treat the seasons are sadding after nor what him of our case, and you have a combine one cincia guillens a surioly closer of buow eisonigue, noor in plan, night of the white; world, 'es american Carre Assortation and the Prove Canyon Coulides durates to the control case on of it that go to confi-

The 4. 'A, which has sire pages of filigation action arone is a country that I is now the design cost of device. tinanianian, ar the person of Julio Mark each connema

oral lattice, pavided are forst outrage in the mean "Suddenly folks who most likely ou dela't grab their back pockets with both vides to excesso hands became road communities expens

lanking for the stightest raison, to criticice the work."

GUE IT COLUMNIST

अवेद .हे.दे राज्य

order of the goods ingul hide an rivery length ता वर्ष वर्णाकान्द्रज्ञान the sit or bank, are

the property inste-LEE ALLEN Sand bled "age's to get he terante me at aits stangus on detable mass. No

the problems could have been solved pirecly in difficulty once they were discovered, but there money in that and the builder had to be positions. OUCT and the load countries here has a safety

obstra and all they engled \$27,00 states and callent make the Chine Metarsker to prove and \$140.000 miles by the power to the account of \$250 miles and the form the second of the se Name Conservanty, The context water paid "to

feet on title et river was not damaged. Lett o a single i 🥳 🔗 r si mared, mæde all or even id sarr nicated by the manufan of the new transplantation is a little annected come a a little named to see one of a pant by the tempayors for the control of the the fill accorded to the contracting processing A sue for

MURATER profit enter 84 South Main # 657-1730

ich Annual

BARLYVARD

Saircay · July 17th

One bay Only

M9 8 - MP.

Stenovide Discounts

tio au off

ART - GIFTS FULNISHINGS

Youthild 100 to the second



BARNYARD SALE

One Day Only 8 AM - 6 PM

128 W. 100 N. Midway 654-5889

Saturday · July 17th Storewide Discounts 10-50% Off ART · GIFTS **FURNISHINGS**

Silverhawk VIDEO Sales Store 84 South Main • 657-1730

<u>\$4 99</u>

The Great Provo Canyon Road Shakedown Story

"Suddenly folks who most likely could-

n't grab their back pockets with both

cize the work."

hands became road construction experts

looking for the slightest reasons to criti-

BY LEE ALLEN

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF CITIZENS FOR **ENVIRONMENTAL COMMON SENSE**

nvironmentalists like to portray themselves as great moral crusaders. They are out to save the earth, the animals, the trees and everything else from the rest of us, who by definition, either aren't smart enough or don't care enough to know what is good for

Have you ever wondered how they get the money to carry on this high-minded crusade?

The Provo Canyon road project gives a perfect

In 1996 a group of environmentalists tried to get Phase II of the project, the 2.1 miles from the upper falls to Wildwood killed. They took the case to court. They

Environmental concerns, however, brought about a number of concessions from UDOT regarding how the project would be built. The steepness of cuts would be great to lessen the affect on vegetation. Tunnels were

planned to reduce the area affected by the road and 6.000 feet of retaining wall would be constructed to also reduce the affected

Now its no small thing to build a four lane road through a narrow canyon while at the same time keeping traffic moving, the river protected and the project advancing.

Having driven more than a hundred times through the canyon while work was progressing I was impressed by the effectiveness of the engineers and the construction workers. Everyone seemed to know what needed to be done and was doing it with great skill.

From driving along the construction area you could hardly guess that the project was being dogged by a group of environmental obstructionists, backed by the Provo Herald, who were more interested in stopping the project and flexing their political muscle than they were in seeing a lifesaving road built.

Suddenly folks who most likely couldn't grab their back pockets with both hands became road construction experts looking for the slightest reasons to criticize the

It became a no win situation for the road builders who had real world problems to deal with. First there were complaints about drilling equipment taking core samples to determine the underlying rock conditions. Then there were complaints when unexpected cracks and holes were found and had to be dealt with.

Problems with stability on the sides of cuts were made to seem like incompetence on the part of the builder rather than typical problems that needed special

But the real ripper came when the contractor interpreted the Corps of Engineers' wetland permit differently from the bureaucrats who wrote it. The pennit required the "roadway" to be eight feet from the "ordinary highwater mark." Both the Utah Department of Transportation and the contractor thought this meant the pavement. The Corps of Engineers said it meant the outside of the retaining wall.

Rather than treat this as a misunderstanding, after al what kind of nut case would you have to be to think that road engineers would purposely violate a binding permi in plain sight of the whole world, the American Cano Association and the Provo Canyon Coalition threatene to make a federal case out of it and go to court.

The ACA, which has nine pages of litigation action around the country listed on its web site, was the driving force behind the action. Robert Redford's Sundanc Foundation, in the person of Julie Mack, environmenta

coordinator, provided the local outrage in the media

Along with the retain ing wall were charges of number of other viola tions, such as not updating revegetation plans along the river bank, and failing to properly install silt dams, black vinyl sheeting on stakes along the bank to separate silt from run-off water. Virtually all of

the problems could have been solved quickly with little difficulty once they were discovered, but there is no money in that and the builder had to be punished.

LEE ALLEN

GUEST COLUMNIST

UDOT and the road contractor decided to settle. The obstructionist lawyers got \$27,000 for their efforts. Ard since the law doesn't allow "citizen" parties to suits under the Clean Water Act to get payment, \$140,000 was given by the judge to an environmental organization. selected by the Provo Canyon Coalition, called Ti Nature Conservancy. The contractor also paid \$10,000 to the same people.

Never mind that the river was not damaged. That no a single trout was ever scared, made ill or even inconnienced by the construction of the new road. The obstructionists flexed their muscle, caused a lot of tur moil and in the end got paid by the taxpayers for their efforts. If you or I had threatened someone's business unless they paid money to our friend we would be in jail. But such is the high moral basis of modern environmen-